Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,736	FRIEDLAND, FRANK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quang D. Thanh	3771	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) *Quang D. Thanh*. (3) _____.

(2) *Paul Bianco*. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: *26 October 2006* **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 16 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Permission has been given by Mr. Bianco to add new language in claim 1, and to cancel claim 20 and incorporate all limitation of claim20 into claim 16 in order to clearly define over the prior art of record (see examiner's amendment)

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)